Available online at: http://journal.uinsgd.ac.id/index.php/kh
Khazanah Hukum, Vol. 6 No. 1: 34-45

DOI: 10.15575/kh.v6i1.33809

The Concerns of Nation-State Sovereignty and International Commercial Law: A Path to Harmonisation

Tran Vang-Phu[1*], Duong Anh Son[2*]
[1]Can Tho University, Vietnam
[2]Ho Chi Minh City University of Economics and Finance, Vietnam
*Corresponding Author Email: vangphu@gmail.com, sonduong@uef.edu.vn

ABSTRACT

The current body of literature on international business and commercial laws demonstrates the inherent conflict between the legal system and the sovereignty of nation-states. The phenomenon of globalization has amplified the influence of non-state actors, regional organizations, and free trade agreements, resulting in a significant demand for international arbitration. The incorporation of international commercial laws into the current standard is increasingly prevalent, as nation-states discover the benefits of adhering to such laws. Conversely, the concept of national sovereignty, which exerted significant influence in recent years, appears to be facing substantial challenges due to the emerging trends in an increasingly interconnected globalized world. Nevertheless, the nation-state and its sovereignty maintain a significant impact on the dynamics of power and trade between different nation-states. This study undertakes an examination of the substantial discord between principles of international commercial law and the sovereignty attributed to nation-states, with specific attention directed towards the prospects of achieving harmonization. Moreover, it delves into the intricacies and challenges inherent in the pursuit of harmonization within this specific domain.

Keywords: Commercial Law, Globalization, Harmonization, International Commerce, Nation-state, Sovereignty.

ABSTRAK

Kumpulan literatur terkini mengenai hukum bisnis dan komersial internasional menunjukkan adanya konflik yang melekat antara sistem hukum dan kedaulatan negara-bangsa. Fenomena globalisasi telah memperkuat pengaruh aktor non-negara, organisasi regional, dan perjanjian perdagangan bebas, sehingga menghasilkan permintaan yang signifikan terhadap arbitrase internasional. Penggabungan undang-undang komersial internasional ke dalam standar yang ada saat ini semakin lazim, karena negara-negara menyadari manfaat dari mematuhi undang-undang tersebut. Sebaliknya, konsep kedaulatan nasional, yang memberikan pengaruh signifikan dalam beberapa tahun terakhir, nampaknya menghadapi tantangan besar karena tren yang muncul di dunia global yang semakin saling terhubung. Meskipun demikian, negara-bangsa dan kedaulatannya mempunyai dampak yang signifikan terhadap dinamika kekuasaan dan perdagangan antar negara-bangsa yang berbeda. Studi ini melakukan kajian terhadap perselisihan substansial antara prinsip-prinsip hukum komersial internasional dan kedaulatan yang dikaitkan dengan negara-bangsa, dengan perhatian khusus diarahkan pada prospek mencapai harmonisasi. Selain itu, kajian ini menyelidiki seluk-beluk dan tantangan yang melekat dalam upaya mencapai harmonisasi dalam bidang khusus ini.

Kata Kunci: Hukum Dagang, Globalisasi, Harmonisasi, Perdagangan Internasional, Negara-Bangsa, Kedaulatan.

INTRODUCTION

The Treaty of Westphalia, signed in 1648, laid the foundation for both national sovereignty and the modern global legal system by establishing the concepts of state sovereignty and non-interference. That is why most scholars often identify State sovereignty as Westphalian sovereignty. After 30 years of war ended, the Westphalia treaty was signed to maintain peace and balance of power. That was the first treaty

Received: February 21, 2024; Accepted: April 16, 2024. Published: April 25, 2024

to identify the international law that every nation-state has exclusive authority over its territory and internal affairs. (Shaw, M. N. 1982) These arrangements are based on the tenet of non-interference in the domestic affairs of other nations and the idea that every state, regardless of size, is treated equally under international law. Later these ideas of state sovereignty and non-interference got universalized as European values spread to all acorns of the globe (Lansford, 2000).

The concept of sovereignty underpins the existing international framework of nation-states. Within academic discourse and broader literature, the Peace of Westphalia, ratified in 1648 to conclude the Thirty Years' War, often serves as a seminal reference point for the emergence of this system. The major goal of the Peace Treaty, when viewed in the light of history, does not appear to be the equality of sovereign states but rather the containment of the influence of the Roman Empire. The concept of sovereignty is clearly defined in the treaty as decolonization from the Empire rather than an international system of sovereign equality and non-interference. The Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States of 1933 established the fundamental components of the sovereignty-based structure. Territory, populace, and an efficient government are all characteristics of statehood. (Crawford, J. 1976). Thus, sovereignty is the underlying concept of the current world order, as upheld by the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and outlined in Article 2 of the UN Charter. An external definition of sovereignty includes the state's ability to represent its people in international affairs as the only legal personality, its legal identity in international law, and its equality with all other states. In the sphere of international business and commercial laws, a recurring tension between the legal system and the sovereignty of nation-states looms large. This battle has been accelerated by the quick onset of globalization, which has increased the power of non-state players, regional organizations, and free trade agreements. The burgeoning field of international commercial law (ICL) presents a fascinating paradox for the concept of state sovereignty. As globalization intensifies, the need for a robust framework governing international commerce becomes increasingly apparent. The purpose of this study is to examine how nation-state sovereignty and the international legal system interact, with an emphasis on how these relationships affect transnational trade in the context of globalization. The paper then highlights the challenges and the means of harmonization of laws and sovereignty.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study aims to investigate the concerns surrounding nation-state sovereignty and international commercial law, with an unwavering focus on exploring pathways to harmonization. To achieve the research objectives, the researcher uses a rigorous doctrinal research design. An extensive review of primary legal sources, including international treaties, conventions, and legislation, alongside scholarly literature from legal, political, and economic disciplines will be conducted with utmost precision. The research methodology commences with a comprehensive literature review designed to critically examine the extant body of knowledge pertaining to idea of state sovereignty. Through a meticulous examination, the research paper discusses the idea of state sovereignty, challenges due to globalization, the need and processes of harmonization of International commercial laws. The study highlights the pertinent contemporary debates shaping the discourse surrounding international legal systems, nation-state sovereignty, and the multifaceted phenomenon of globalization.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

International Legal System and Nation-State Sovereignty

Existing literature on international business and commercial laws shows us the inherent dilemma between the legal system and nation-state sovereignty. Originally, the idea of sovereignty was concerned with the interaction between the person and the "sovereign." Its application to the state's function in international law emerged as a byproduct of talks about the interrelationships among "sovereign" states. (Gopalan,2004).

The nation-state is a uniquely Western idea that unites several socioeconomic entities into a single, geographically defined nation (Holsti, 1996). Therefore, Western governments' sovereign will is strongly reflected in international law. However, it falls short of accurately reflecting the intentions of governments outside of the West. International law still reflects global imbalances more than sixty years after decolonization, as depicted by the colonial rhetoric and the Western-centric understanding of sovereignty. Post-colonial states' wishes aren't reflected in international law, but states' sovereign will be reflected largely.

Along with the emergence of states, the emergence of sovereignty is taken into consideration in the Romanian specialized literature. For example, according to Grigore Geamănu, sovereignty is an institution "from the moment the states begin to exist." In a similar vein, another author believes that "the dissolution of gentile society and the establishment of the state were the conditions under which sovereignty appeared with state power, as a feature."(Moca, 1983) P. Negulescu articulated that the notion of sovereignty emerged in the 15th century to delineate the monarch's position within the feudal hierarchy. The term originates from Vulgar Latin, specifically the preposition "super," denoting "above." From this root, the adjective "superanus" and the noun "supremitas" evolved, connoting the status of an individual who, hierarchically, stands without superior authority, thereby lacking subordination to any other entity. (Negulescu 1927,) During the Middle Ages, the concept of sovereignty underwent significant advancements. Jean Bodin, in his work "Les six livres de la République" (1576) or "Six Books on the Republic" (1576), delineated sovereignty as "summa potestas," denoting an authority that acknowledges no superior entity. According to Bodin's conception, sovereignty is characterized as absolute, perpetual, indivisible, inalienable, and imprescriptible. (Alexe, A. 2009).

The doctrine of the social contract and the sovereignty of the people served as the foundation for the initial bourgeois constitutional enactments. However, the late 18th century marked a significant evolution in the conceptualization of sovereignty. During this period, state sovereignty underwent a transformation into national sovereignty, wherein the prerogatives of sovereignty transitioned from the monarch to the nation and its populace. This paradigm shift is exemplified by seminal documents such as the American Declaration of Independence (1776) and the Declaration of the Rights of Man and Citizen, as well as the revolutionary constitutions of France (1791-1793) (Miga-Beşteliu, 1998).

Article 3 of the Declaration of the Rights of Man and Citizen notably articulates the notion of national sovereignty for the first time, asserting: "The source of all sovereignty resides essentially in the nation. Nobody, no individual can exercise authority that does not explicitly proceed from it." This principle of national sovereignty was subsequently enshrined in the French Constitution of 1791, which declared in Article 1 that sovereignty is indivisible, inalienable, and imprescriptible, and belongs exclusively to the nation. Consequently, no faction or individual may arrogate the exercise of sovereignty.

Initially, the argument will focus on the concept of consent, reciprocity, and the United Nations Security Council (UNSC). State sovereignty must be the dominant force in the international legal order. The

 ISSN 2715-9698 (online)

next part of the argument will focus on the differences in the creation of international law by different countries. The establishment and implementation of international law are significantly shaped by the colonial heritage of Eurocentric administrations, rather than ensuring equal representation for all states. The contention that the aspiration for universal sovereignty and the development of international law embodies colonial ambitions underscores the extent to which international law reflects Western hegemonic influences. The legal systems of post-colonial states are deeply entrenched in colonial legal frameworks, as evidenced by this historical analysis from a legal perspective. Therefore, while non-Western governments contribute significantly to the development of international law, they still have domestic sovereign structures geared toward the benefit of the colonial powers. In conclusion, international law largely reflects the political intent of Western states and obstructs post-colonial states' efforts to have a diverse legal system (Bishop, 1961).

The idea of unrestricted, total sovereignty did not hold sway for very long, either nationally or internationally. The rise of democracy placed significant restrictions on the authority of the monarchy and the ruling classes. The growing interdependence of states constrained the notion that might is right in international affairs. The widespread consensus among citizens and policymakers is that there cannot be peace without law and that there cannot be law without some restrictions on sovereign power. Thus, organizations like the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), the World Trade Organization (WTO), and the European Union (EU) began to pool their sovereignties to the extent necessary to maintain peace and prosperity. Sovereignty was increasingly exercised on behalf of the peoples of the world by regional and international organizations as well as national governments. (Schrijver, N. 1999). As a result, the doctrine of divided sovereignty, first formulated in federal states, started to apply globally.

Globalization and Its Impact on International Commercial Activities

Globalization is one of the "buzzwords" of our times across the Planet from policy spaces to academia. (Keohane, 2020). The process of globalization increased the role of non-state actors, regional organizations, Free trade arrangements, etc., creating a huge spec for international arbitration. International trade and commerce have been significantly impacted by globalization, which has brought up both opportunities and challenges. It has accelerated scientific developments, promoted economic growth, and opened up new markets. (Dunning,1997). The world is changing, and with it, there have been significant changes in trade and business. While the roots of globalization are very recent, this aspect is relatively new. Goods and services from any nation are readily available all across the world. Globalization is the easy access to goods, the interconnectedness of nations, and the availability of trade rights in every nation. But as we've already mentioned, globalization has a long history (Sparke, 2012).

Among the finest examples is the well-known Silk Road, which linked China to Europe. There was a great deal of international trade and business, and new continents were discovered. Trade, on the other hand, was a difficult venture at the time. As a result of the arduous and pricey acquisition of precious stones, spices, and silks (Sanderson, 2011).

However, the phenomenon known as globalization wasn't completely realized until the industrial revolution, which dismantled antiquated manufacturing methods and ushered in an era of mass production of goods (Schultz & Mitchenson, 2016). The heightened demand is attributed to the expansion of factory output, improved distribution networks, and enhanced accessibility of goods across diverse marketplaces. This surge in demand has led to a proliferation of establishments offering essential items such as processed food, medications, and household goods, as well as luxury products including high-end

vehicles, tech devices and jewelry. Thus, globalization was born with a new face, and the birth process was greatly facilitated by notable improvements in communications, production, and distribution technology.

Globalization and Technology

What does McDonald's or fast food have to do with technology? Say hello to "McDonald's Next," a "modern and progressive" iteration of the restaurant that debuted in Hong Kong in 2017 and offers mobile-phone charging platforms, free Wi-Fi, and self-ordering kiosks—taking into account the company's presence in China, where there are nearly 1.3 billion mobile users. This new McDonald's is a response to rising consumer expectations for speed, service, value, and accessibility in both developed and emerging nations, mostly driven by consumers' expanding access to reasonably priced technology. Global firms must use technology to transfer people, goods, and supplies around the world profitably and effectively as they respond to the needs of technology.

Globalization's positive and negative aspects have different effects on the day-to-day operations of a business. (Brooks, I., Weatherston, J., & Wilkinson, G. 2010). Businesses that want to grow worldwide need to be ready for and willing to change their internal operating procedures so they can accommodate new markets and create an environment where their multinational workforce may feel accepted and welcome.

When a corporation enters the international market, multiple elements of its operations shift. For instance, the diversity of workers drawn to the global economy increases as it grows. While there are benefits to this increased linguistic and cultural diversity, it also presents a unique set of difficulties due to differences in language and cultural norms. A wide range of issues, including international employee expectations, supporting global consumers and greater competition, marketing, and communication changes, etc., are some operational changes businesses may expect due to globalization. International commercial laws are becoming a part of the new normal, where the nation-states find a sort of advantage when moving with such laws (Rühl, 2016).

Globalization Vs. National Sovereignty

On the other hand, national sovereignty, which played a powerful role in the last few decades, seems to be challenged to a great extent by the new developments in a new globalized world. However, the nation-state and its sovereignty continue to play an influential role in power relations and trade relations among different nation-states (Tierney, 2005).

Globalization, which involves increasing the volume, pace, and significance of movements of people, ideas, goods, money, and many other things both within and across boundaries, is challenging one of the fundamental foundations of sovereignty - the power to regulate what crosses territorial borders in either direction. It is becoming more common for sovereign entities to measure their vulnerability to external influences rather than each other. The inability of a government to fulfill its fundamental obligations towards its citizens, whether due to resource constraints or deliberate policy decisions, can have detrimental consequences for national sovereignty. such state failure, characterized by the erosion or even complete loss of sovereign control, can contribute to instability. Internal migration, and in some cases create an environment conducive to the proliferation of terrorist organizations. While discussions often focus on the potential threats posed by globalization to national sovereignty, this work emphasizes the internal factors that can undermine a state's authority and contribute to broader regional destabilization.

According to popular belief, nations' sovereignty has been eroded because of globalization, or that boundaries are no longer necessary. Neoliberal economic policies, such as privatization, deregulation, and

 ISSN 2715-9698 (online)

cuts in public spending are argued to be necessary for a globalized economy. (Torres, C. A., & Van Heertum, R. 2009). The nation-state is only marginally important to the clash between social democracy and neoliberal globalization. The key question is whether the policy will be guided by democratic voter preferences or be severely restrained by the "Golden Straitjacket" of global financial markets.

National Sovereignty in the Contemporary World

The most important characteristic of a state is typically defined as its total self-sufficiency within the confines of a given region, i.e., its dominance in internal policy and independence in international policy. The 19th century saw a rise in the popularity of this idea. However, it already had a rather clear interpretation in the writings of Machiavelli, Bodin, Hobbes, and others at the start of the Modern Age. The concepts of state sovereignty gradually gained acceptance throughout Europe and, eventually, the world within the Westphalian system of international relations, which was created following the Thirty-Year War and the Peace Treaties of Westphalia in 1648 (Nweze & Okeke, 2009).

It is essential to remember that international law's so-called "normative trajectory" was not fully formulated until the late 18th and early 19th centuries. That had a direct bearing on the Wars of the French Revolution, the Wars of Napoleon, and the construction of a new order in the wake of the Vienna Congress in 1815. Provisions that govern the sovereign equality of states and the right of countries to self-determination can now be found in the Charter of the United Nations and a few other international agreements. We consider that these provisions, in conjunction with the increasing level of external security in the majority of nations, have sufficiently contributed to the consolidation of the concept of national sovereignty in international affairs throughout the second half of the 20th century. In point of fact, there is a trend toward both the acknowledgment of sovereign rights and the voluntarily restrained exercise of those powers by the sovereigns themselves; These powers include the ability to declare war and peace.

Sovereignty remains one of the most contentious and conceptually ambiguous constructs within the field of international relations. Notably, its meaning has undergone continuous transformation in response to evolving dynamics within the international system, shifting characteristics of states themselves, and the inherent challenges associated with defining the concept of a state. Traditionally, the notion of sovereignty implied a supreme authority, often embodied by a feudal monarch wielding absolute power. (Jackson,2007). This power encompassed the ability to grant or divide states, often as part of inheritance decisions. Subsequently, the concept evolved to encompass the notion of an enlightened absolute monarch who represented the interests of the people or the nation itself. Additionally, the ultimate sovereignty that states purport to have has historically been severely and even fatally constrained by various causes. Different perspectives and forms of sovereignty can be considered.

Intersections of Global and National Sovereignty: Understanding Conflict Areas and Issues

The ability of national governments to control their political systems and steer and influence their economies (particularly regarding macroeconomic management) has decreased due to globalization. There is a shred of clear evidence that the level to which politics are now primarily market-driven globally is where the impact of globalization is most felt. the most compelling evidence of this phenomenon lies in the increasing dominance of market forces in shaping national political agendas. While governments haven't entirely lost their ability to govern, they are increasingly compelled to "manage" domestic policies to conform to the dictates of a globalized market. Political globalization is a result of the institutionalization of international political structures. Since the beginning of the nineteenth century, the European interstate

system has created a set of international political frameworks that govern all contact forms and an increasing consensus international normative order. Craig Murphy has dubbed this phenomenon "global government." It alludes to the expansion of both general and specialized international organizations (Gopalan, 2004), (Chase-Dunn, 1999).

Emerging from the ashes of World War II, the United Nations (UN) succeeded the League of Nations as the preeminent global intergovernmental organization. While the League's influence was significant in its time, the UN was established with a broader mandate to promote international cooperation and maintain global peace and security. Alongside this global framework, regional organizations have also flourished. Examples include the Organization of American States (OAS), the Arab League, the African Union (AU), and the European Union (EU). These regional entities play a crucial role in fostering institutional development within their respective member states have the potential to influence and dictate how member states are governed, which has the effect of fostering the development of institutions. The trend of political globalization is as stated. Non-member states are excluded from this collaboration and are viewed as outliers. In the future, more states will aspire to follow the standards established by these organizations. The effects are already being noticed in the human rights sector. A state is no longer free to treat its citizens and foreigners whatever it pleases due to the internationalization of human rights (Keerthiraj & Devaiah, 2022). It must adhere to the international norms outlined in the numerous human rights treaties, most of which are now recognized as part of customary law. A state of political sovereignty being subordinated to the institutions' rules will eventually result from a persistent concentration of sovereignty in international institutions.

Harmonization of International Commercial Law and National Sovereignty

Harmonization is a process that can lead to the unification of law if certain conditions are satisfied, such as, for example, widespread or universal geographical acceptance of harmonizing instruments and a broad scope of harmonizing instruments that effectively replace all pre-existing law. If these conditions are met, harmonization is a process that could lead to the unification of law.

Striking a balance between global commercial law harmonization and respect for national sovereignty is crucial for fostering a stable and efficient international trade environment. While standardized rules across borders enhance predictability and streamline transactions, navigating the intricate landscape of diverse legal systems and cultural contexts, often rooted in national sovereignty, presents a complex challenge. Instruments that harmonize aim to achieve two different things. The second goal is to create a law reform when existing legislation cannot address changing commercial activities. The first goal is the unification of law (Fazio, 2007).

This section examines this crucial confrontation of international commercial law and nation-state sovereignty with a special focus on the possibilities of harmonization. The paper also explores the dynamics and challenges of the harmonization process in this context. Ziegel defines that "Harmonization in this field of law is a word with considerable elasticity. In its most complete sense, it means absolute uniformity of legislation among the adopting jurisdictions." (Ziegel, 1997).

Internationalism in law has never experienced such a boost in human history, thanks to the conclusion of the cold war and the ensuing growth in international trade. More than ever, people are interested in the diverse approaches taken by the many national laws to address the shared issues faced by global trade. ' The abundance of legal harmonization initiatives currently underway in domains as diverse as civil procedure, receivables financing, space asset financing, and insolvency law is a straightforward tribute to this intellectual curiosity and spirit of internationalism. The harmonizing

 ISSN 2715-9698 (online)

framework is being used in a growing number of legal disciplines. It was unthinkable ten years ago that international accords on subjects covered by property law or civil procedure would ever be feasible (Levmore, 2012).

That resulted from the idea that these legal topics reflect elements of a country's sociopolitical history and culture and that any attempt at harmonization might be ineffective due to strong national sensibilities. Today, such a notion is no longer valid. Property law issues are being attacked with tremendous effort, and international tools are being developed quickly. One example was the Cape Town Convention in 2001. The most recent Hague Convention on conflict rules regarding securities owned by intermediaries is yet another.

The process of harmonization

The nature and traits of the harmonization of international commercial law are covered in this section. It is evident that efforts to harmonize varied significantly between those that took place after the cold war ended and those that did so during or before it. The attempts to harmonize during and before the Cold War are not heavily discussed in this essay, except for any lessons that might be learned for present initiatives. A thorough examination of efforts at harmonization during and before the Cold War is unnecessary for two reasons: The degree of globalization that defines the present world renders many issues from the cold war era obsolete.

Scholarly discourse at the time was organized along ideological lines that have no relevance in today's modern world. The idea that we live in a "global village" is possibly the most significant aspect of contemporary life. Despite his contention that "globalization" is an abstract phrase that has become a cliche rather than a thought with real reality, Professor Berger agrees that it has led to the "denationalization of the judicial process." The geopolitical and economic aspects of globalization at the dawn of the twenty-first century, associated with the diminishing significance of territoriality and the emergence of "global civil society," he claims, have made a decentralized approach to law-making acceptable and even open the door to the acceptance of the "lex mercatoria" as an autonomous legal order in international trade. According to E1 DiMatteo, "at the end of the twentieth century, globalization and the volume of international transactions have given rise to the idea of a new lex mercatoria, largely because the internationalization of commercial law is necessary for the continued growth of international trade."

Different levels of harmonization

The Vienna Convention on the International Sales of Goods is widely regarded as the most effective attempt to unify international trade law through legislative methods (CISG). This international convention was the product of about fifty years of study, beginning in the early 1930s, and it came into effect in 1980. The sale of goods is one of the most significant transactions in international trade, and the CISG is a convention of substantive uniform law that establishes consistent norms pertaining to its principal provisions.

According to the broad consensus, conventions serve as the foundation for logical laws and principles. Conventions, however, lack the effectiveness of harmonization because they do not ensure either universal implementation or harmonized application in various nations. At least six different approaches to harmonization exist, with varying degrees of intensity:

1. Law or legislation
2. Conventions
3. Standard Contract Clauses

4. International Customs
5. Uniform Acts
6. International Legal Principles
7. Regulations & Directives
8. Court Decisions and Arbitration Awards
9. Legal Principles and Guides.

Although the levels are comparable to common legal sources, their usage and content can be unexpected. The incorporation of international commercial law (ICL) into domestic legal frameworks presents a growing trend, driven by nation-states recognition of its advantages in a globalized economy. However, this trend has exposed a fundamental tension: reconciling the principles of national sovereignty with the demands of a seamlessly interconnected economic landscape. There have been several territorial and technological bottlenecks in the process of harmonization, nevertheless there is a gradual process to harmonize sovereignty and Commercial laws to attract better investments and economic returns. The conflict between variety and legal consistency highlights the need for harmonization measures to strike a careful balance.

Institutions for Harmonization Process

Recent years have witnessed a growing chorus advocating for alternative approaches to international trade law. This shift stems from a twofold motivation: waning confidence in the absolute authority of state legislators and heightened awareness of the need to include the business community in the harmonization process. This evolving perspective has fostered the notion that unification of international trade law can be achieved through non-legislative techniques, intensifying the phenomenon of privatization of law-making. Consequently, international commerce has increasingly developed its own set of uniform rules, referred to as international commercial law. (Calliess, 2001). Various non-governmental and intergovernmental organizations work at different levels to harmonize international commercial laws and national sovereignty (Loshkarev, 2019). Efforts like the Multinational and transnational model laws and conventions offer a solution, providing a framework for states to adopt while accommodating their unique legal traditions. This approach respects national autonomy by allowing states to determine the extent of international standard incorporation, ultimately fostering a cohesive global commercial environment while preserving individual state agency.

Institutions like the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) play a pivotal role in the harmonization of international commercial law. (Bazinas, 2003). They serve as forums for deliberation and collaboration among member states, legal experts, and stakeholders. Through research, drafting of model laws, and facilitating international conventions, these institutions provide frameworks for harmonizing commercial practices while respecting national sovereignty. Additionally, institutions like the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) provide platforms for private-sector engagement and contribute to the development of harmonized trade practices. Alongside UNCITRAL, the International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT) serves as another key player in harmonizing international commercial law. This intergovernmental organization not only drafts standardized legal instruments but also engages in research and management activities. UNIDROIT's treaties, like those pertaining to international factoring, finance leasing, and agency, complement the Vienna Convention, further solidifying the legal framework for cross-border transactions. (Bonell,2000). Additionally, the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), a non-governmental organization, utilizes "soft law" techniques to influence and harmonize business practices through non-binding instruments,

 ISSN 2715-9698 (online)

promoting international trade and smoother capital flow. Together, these entities demonstrate the multifaceted approach to harmonization in international commercial law. The ICC uses soft law techniques to harmonize and integrate business law through a non-law generating authority (Nakagawa, 2011).

CONCLUSION

The successful navigation of a globalized market necessitates the establishment of universal standards for seamless international trade. This requires the harmonization of international norms, prerequisites, and legal frameworks, fostering a clear comprehension of essential market requirements for enterprises. This convergence bridges the gap between global regulations and national jurisdictions, enabling businesses to effectively tailor their production to diverse markets while navigating regulatory compliance and consumer demands. Ultimately, harmonization acts as a crucial facilitator in unlocking the full potential of global trade. The harmonization of standards is the focus of numerous government-to-government, business, and public organizations. Reducing trade barriers will allow food products to move freely across countries, which is the major objective of these programs.

Companies who take advantage of new markets and nations that gain from growth in their gross domestic product profit financially from these efforts. In some sense, the short- and long-term development of international commercial law is again at a crossroads. Other authors have articulated the same hope over the years. The development of the harmonization Principles, however, would be helpful to any decision-maker with the motivation and skills to settle a dispute involving an international contract in the appropriate international setting. It is impossible to argue that such a choice is not legal. The principles are actually a step toward comprehending the impact of the international component in contract law, even if they may not be widely adopted globally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is funded by Vietnam National University HoChiMinh City (VNU-HCM) under grant number C2020-34-07.

REFERENCES

Alexe, A. (2009). Sfarsitul lumii libere/The end of the free world.

Bazinas, S. V. (2003). Harmonisation of international and regional trade law: The UNCITRAL experience. *Unif. L. Rev*. ns, 8, 53.

Brooks, I., Weatherston, J., & Wilkinson, G. (2010). Globalisation, challenges and changes. *The international business environment*, 306-336.

Bonell, M. J. (2000). The UNIDROIT Principles and transnational law. *Unif. L. Rev. ns*, 5, 199.

Bishop, L. (1961). International Law: Sovereignty: Judicial Examination of Foreign Act of State under International Law. *Michigan Law Review*, 60(2), 231. https://doi.org/10.2307/1286443

Bray, R., & Shepard, P. (1953). Sovereignty and State-Owned Commercial Entities. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, 2(1), 97. https://doi.org/10.2307/838010

Calliess, G. P. (2001). Lex mercatoria: a reflexive law guide to an autonomous legal system. *German Law Journal*, 2(17), E4.

Chase-Dunn, C. (1999). Globalization: A World-Systems Perspective, *Journal of World-Systems Research*, V. 2, pp. 187–215, http://jwsr.ucr.edu/, ISSN 1076-156x

Crawford, J. 1976. The criteria for statehood in international law. *British Yearbook of International Law*, 48(1), 93-182

Deak, F., & Mattern, J. (1928). Concepts of State, Sovereignty and International Law. *Columbia Law Review*, 28(7), 993. https://doi.org/10.2307/1114256

Dunning, J. H. (Ed.). (1997). *Governments, globalization, and international business*. OUP Oxford.

Fazio, S. (2007). The harmonization of international commercial law. *Kluwer Law International*.

Gopalan, S. (2004). *Transnational commercial law*. W.S. Hein.

Gopalan, S. (2004). The creation of international commercial law: sovereignty felled. *San Diego Int'l LJ*, 5, 267.

Jackson, R. (2007). Sovereignty: The evolution of an idea. *Polity*.

K. J. Holsti (1996). *The State, War and the State of War*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, p. 203.

Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2020). *Globalization: What's new? What's not? (And so, what?)*. In Making policy happen (pp. 105-113). Routledge.

Keerthiraj. & Devaiah N. G., (2022). *Quintessence Of International Politics: Theoretical and Conceptual Foundations*. Blue Hill Publications.

Lansford, T. (2000). Post-Westphalian Europe? Sovereignty and the Modern Nation-State. *International Studies*, 37(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1177/002088170003700100l

Levmore, S. (2012). Harmonization, Preferences, and the Calculus of Consent in Commercial and Other Law. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2079295

Loshkarev, I. (2019). International Law and State Sovereignty: Issues of Correlation. *Contemporary Problems of Social Work*, 5(1), 84-91. https://doi.org/10.17922/2412-5466-2019-5-1-84-91

Nakagawa, J. (2011). *International harmonization of economic regulation*. Oxford University Press.

Negulescu, P. (1927). *Curs de drept constituțional român/Course of Romanian constitutional law*. Bucharest.

Nweze, C., & Okeke, C. (2009). *Contemporary issues on public international and comparative law*. Vandeplas Publishing.

Miga-Beşteliu, R. (1998). *Drept internațional: introducere in dreptul internațional public/International law: introduction to public international law*. Bucharest: ALL.

Rosett, A. (1992). Unification, Harmonization, Restatement, Codification, and Reform in International Commercial Law. *The American Journal Of Comparative Law*, 40(3), 683. https://doi.org/10.2307/840594

Rühl, G. (2016). Commercial agents, minimum harmonization and overriding mandatory provisions in the European Union: Unamar. *Common Market Law Review*, 53(Issue 1), 209-224. https://doi.org/10.54648/cola2016009

Sanderson, D. (2011). Commercial Law and Indigenous Sovereignty. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1933805

Schrijver, N. (1999). *The changing nature of state sovereignty*. British Year Book of International Law, 70(1), 65-98.

Schultz, T., & Mitchenson, J. (2016). Navigating sovereignty and transnational commercial law: the use of comity by Australian courts. *Journal Of Private International Law*, 12(2), 344-378. https://doi.org/10.1080/17441048.2016.1206704

Shaw, M. N. (1982). *Territory in international law*. Netherlands Yearbook of International Law, 13, 61-91.

Shackelford, E. (2006). Party Autonomy and Regional Harmonization of Rules in International Commercial Arbitration. *University Of Pittsburgh Law Review*, 67(4). https://doi.org/10.5195/lawreview.2006.57

Sparke, M. (2012). *Introducing Globalization*. Wiley.

Stephan, P. (1999). The Futility of Unification and Harmonization in International Commercial Law. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.169209

Tierney, S. (2005). Reframing Sovereignty? Sub-State National Societies and Contemporary Challenges to the Nation-State. *International And Comparative Law Quarterly*, 54(1), 161-183. https://doi.org/10.1093/iclq/54.1.161

Torres, C. A., & Van Heertum, R. (2009). Globalisation and neoliberalism: The challenges and opportunities of radical pedagogy. *Re-Reading Education Policies*, 143-162.

Ziegel, J. (1997). *Harmonization of Private Laws in Federal Systems of Government: Canada, the USA, and Australia*, in Making Commercial Law: Essays In Honour Of Roy Goode 133, Ross Cranston ed.

Ziegel, J., & Lerner, S. (1998). *New developments in international commercial and consumer law*. Hart Pub.